CONSENSUS RECOMMENDATIONS

Management of genitourinary syndrome of menopause in women with or at high risk for breast cancer: consensus recommendations from The North American Menopause Society and The International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health

Stephanie S. Faubion, MD, FACP, NCMP, IF,¹ Lisa C. Larkin, MD, FACP, NCMP, IF,² Cynthia A. Stuenkel, MD, NCMP,³ Gloria A. Bachmann, MD,⁴ Lisa A. Chism, DNP, APRN, BC, NCMP, CSC, FAANP,⁵ Risa Kagan, MD, FACOG, CCD, NCMP,⁶ Andrew M. Kaunitz, MD, FACOG, NCMP,⁷ Michael L. Krychman, MD, FACOG, MPH, IF,⁸ Sharon J. Parish, MD, IF, NCMP,⁹ Ann H. Partridge, MD, MPH,¹⁰ JoAnn V. Pinkerton, MD, FACOG, NCMP,¹¹ Tami S. Rowen, MD, MS,¹² Marla Shapiro, CM, MDCM, CCFP, MHSC, FRCPC, FCFP, NCMP,¹³ James A. Simon, MD, CCD, NCMP, IF, FACOG,¹⁴ Shari B. Goldfarb, MD,¹⁵ and Sheryl A. Kingsberg, PhD¹⁶

Abstract

The objective of The North American Menopause Society (NAMS) and The International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health (ISSWSH) Expert Consensus Panel was to create a point of care algorithm for treating genitourinary syndrome of menopause (GSM) in women with or at high risk for breast cancer. The consensus recommendations will assist healthcare providers in managing GSM with a goal of improving the care and quality of life for these women. The Expert Consensus Panel is comprised of a diverse group of 16 multidisciplinary experts well respected in their fields. The panelists individually conducted an evidence-based review of the literature in their respective areas of expertise. They then met to discuss the latest treatment options for genitourinary syndrome of menopause (GSM) in survivors of breast cancer and review management strategies for GSM in women with or at high risk for breast cancer, using a modified Delphi method. This iterative process involved presentations summarizing the current literature, debate, and discussion of divergent opinions concerning GSM assessment and management, leading to the development of consensus recommendations for the clinician.

Genitourinary syndrome of menopause is more prevalent in survivors of breast cancer, is commonly undiagnosed and untreated, and may have early onset because of cancer treatments or risk-reducing strategies. The paucity of evidence regarding the safety of vaginal hormone therapies in women with or at high risk for breast cancer has resulted in avoidance of treatment, potentially adversely affecting quality of life and intimate relationships. Factors influencing decision-making regarding treatment for GSM include breast cancer recurrence risk, severity of symptoms, response to prior therapies, and personal preference.

We review current evidence for various pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapeutic modalities in women with a history of or at high risk for breast cancer and highlight the substantial gaps in the evidence for safe and effective therapies and the need for future research. Treatment of GSM is individualized, with nonhormone treatments generally being first line in this population. The use of local hormone therapies may be an option for some women who fail nonpharmacologic and nonhormone treatments after a discussion of risks and benefits and review with a woman's oncologist. We provide consensus recommendations for an approach to the management of GSM in specific patient populations, including women at high risk for breast cancer, women with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancers, women with triple-negative breast cancers, and women with metastatic disease.

Key Words: Atrophic vaginitis – Breast cancer – Breast cancer risk – Breast cancer survivors – Genitourinary syndrome of menopause – Vulvovaginal atrophy.

Received March 21, 2018; revised and accepted March 22, 2018.

INTRODUCTION

here are currently 3.1 million US survivors of breast cancer;¹ however, despite advances in screening and treatment resulting in increased survivorship, breast cancer continues to be a major health concern in terms of both mortality and quality of life (QOL) for women living with breast cancer or with a history of breast cancer.

Genitourinary syndrome of menopause (GSM) is a constellation of physical changes and symptoms including vulvovaginal dryness, burning, or irritation; dyspareunia; and urinary symptoms of urgency, dysuria, or recurrent urinary tract infection (UTI) associated with estrogen deficiency.² Although GSM affects more than 50% of the general population of postmenopausal women, it is even more pervasive in survivors of breast cancer,³⁻⁷ most of whom are undiagnosed and untreated.^{8,9}

Many survivors of breast cancer experience earlier onset of GSM symptoms because of chemotherapy-induced ovarian insufficiency, surgical removal of the ovaries, or radiation therapy.^{10,11} Compounding the situation, the use of adjuvant endocrine therapy with gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRh) agonists, aromatase inhibitors (AIs), or selective estrogen-receptor modulators (SERMs; eg, tamoxifen, raloxifene) has increased from 69.8% in 2004 to 82.4% in 2013 in women with hormone-receptor positive cancers.¹² Additionally, the recommended duration of these therapies has extended from 5 to 10 years.¹³ For the menopausal population who have been diagnosed with or are at high risk for breast cancer, many have the added burden of not being a candidate for or being reluctant to use hormone therapies to manage symptoms.

Given that GSM is highly prevalent in women with breast cancer, it is important for clinicians to query women about symptoms.¹⁴ Data suggest that long-term survivors of breast cancer often report normalization of physical and emotional functioning but experience continued difficulty with sexual functioning and satisfaction for 5 or more years after treatment.¹⁵ Women may be reluctant to bring up the topic of vaginal and sexual health and are often relieved when their clinicians begin a conversation. Even women who are not comfortable discussing sexual health at the first encounter may be willing to do so in subsequent visits. A retrospective

From the ¹Division of General Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ²Lisa Larkin, MD, & Associates, Cincinnati, OH; ³Department of Medicine, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla, CA; ⁴Departments of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Medicine, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ; ⁵Karmanos Cancer Institute, Detroit, MI; ⁶Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, Berkeley, CA; ⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Florida College of Medicine-Jacksonville, Jacksonville, FL; ⁸Southern California Center for Sexual Health and Survivorship Medicine, Irvine, CA; ⁹Departments of Medicine and Psychiatry, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY; ¹⁰Department of Medicine, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; ¹¹NAMS Executive Director, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, VA; ¹²Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, chart review of 800 women from a breast cancer survivorship clinic at a major national cancer center found that only 39.8% of the 279 women with documented GSM symptoms received any form of treatment or referral for treatment.⁸

Clinician reluctance to treat may reflect the paucity of evidence regarding safety of currently available therapies for GSM in women with or at high risk for breast cancer.¹⁶ The unintended consequence is that women are driven to untested and non-FDA-approved therapies. In women with a history of breast cancer, the decision of how to treat GSM depends on many factors, including receptor status, genetic characteristics, extent of disease, time interval since diagnosis, and response to prior therapies. Care for women with or at high risk for breast cancer would be enhanced by an evidence-based compilation of available GSM treatment options, along with a discussion of limitations in the science concerning risks specific to this population.

In these consensus recommendations, prepared by a multidisciplinary group of experts, we review current evidence and provide recommendations for assessment and treatment of GSM in women with or at high risk for breast cancer and highlight the substantial research gaps in clinical evidence for safe and effective treatment strategies.¹⁶⁻²²

IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT

Screening

The simplest approach for clinicians to detect sexual problems related to GSM is to start a conversation with the woman when it feels relevant during the encounter. Clinicians can begin with a ubiquity statement such as, "Many women after menopause ... undergoing breast cancer treatment ... have concerns about sexual functioning," followed by a close-ended question: "What about you?" and an open-ended inquiry to patient responses: "Tell me about it."²³ This demonstrates that the clinician thinks discussing sexual health is important and normalizes and universalizes sexual concerns for women.²⁴ Clinicians can also ask a direct screening question such as, "Do you have any problems or concerns related to sex or pain with sexual activity?"

Structured approaches to incorporating sexuality into clinical practice provide strategies for identification, assessment, management, and/or referral for sexual health concerns. The

and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, CA; ¹³Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; ¹⁴George Washington University, Women's Health and Research Consultants, Washington, DC; ¹⁵Departments of Medicine, Epidemiology, and Biostatistics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY; and ¹⁶Departments of Reproductive Biology and Psychiatry, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, OH.

This CME activity is supported through unrestricted educational grants from Amag Pharmaceuticals, Aytu BioScience, Inc., and Cynosure. Funding was also provided by The International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health.

Address correspondence to: The North American Menopause Society; 30100 Chagrin Blvd., Suite 210; Pepper Pike, OH 44124. E-mail: info@menopause.org. Website: www.menopause.org.

PLISSIT model (P - permission, LI - limited information, SS - specific suggestions, IT - intensive therapy) has been widely used.²⁵ The BETTER model (B - bringing up the topic, E - explaining the importance of sexuality, T - telling the patient about resources, T - addressing timing, E - educating about sexual side effects of treatment, and R - recording the discussion) was devised specifically for patients with cancer.²⁶

Assessment

Assessment of GSM

It is important to gain a clear understanding of a woman's genitourinary symptoms and how they affect her QOL and intimate relationship (s). In history taking, validate her concerns and elicit her priorities. There are readily available, simple, and effective tools for identification of symptoms and assessment of effect on QOL, including the Day-to-Day Impact of Vaginal Aging questionnaire²⁷ and the Sexual Symptom Checklist for Women After Cancer.²⁸

In addition to a complete history, which includes review of potential medications that might cause vaginal dryness, women with genitourinary complaints should undergo a physical examination before starting treatment. The examination should include visual external inspection, speculum, and bimanual pelvic examination as clinically relevant and to exclude other conditions that might mimic GSM, such as vaginitis, lichen sclerosus, or other dermatopathology. During an examination, the woman and clinician can review areas of concern, and women can be educated regarding anatomy and instructed in the application of local therapies, using a hand mirror as needed.

Assessment of breast cancer risk

Identification of women at high risk for breast cancer may factor into shared decision-making regarding the use of local hormone therapies for GSM. Breast cancer risk is increased in women with a family history of breast cancer or a personal history of breast biopsy and in women with a history of Hodgkin lymphoma treated with mantle radiation. Breast cancer risk assessment tools including the Breast Cancer Risk Assessment Tool,²⁹ Claus,³⁰ Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium,³¹ Tyrer-Cuzick (International Breast Cancer Intervention Study),³² and others are useful to more accurately define risk.³³⁻³⁵ There is no single cut-off for defining high risk for breast cancer; however, the American Society of Clinical Oncology concludes that women with an estimated 5-year risk of 1.67% or greater are candidates for chemoprevention,³⁶ and high-risk women, defined by a lifetime risk of more than 20%, are candidates for enhanced surveillance with breast magnetic resonance imaging.³⁷

When assessing women with GSM with a history of breast cancer, it is important for the clinician to identify factors that may affect decision-making. These factors include balancing the risk of recurrence, which is influenced by the stage and grade of the cancer; presence of lymphovascular invasion; hormone-receptor status; use of endocrine therapy; and the time since diagnosis, with the severity of genitourinary symptoms, QOL, and efficacy of conservative therapies (Table 1). Although data are lacking, based on our consensus opinion, women with an overall lower risk of recurrence versus higher risk; with receptor-negative versus receptorpositive disease; using tamoxifen versus AIs; and with severe symptoms and greater concerns about quality of life versus fewer symptoms and concerns may be better candidates for local hormone therapy.

TREATMENT

Validating the effect of GSM on survivors of breast cancer and the importance of seeking treatment for relieving symptoms and improving QOL is critical. The clinician should explain the pathophysiology of GSM and review potential genitourinary effects of breast cancer treatment.

Counseling patients with or at high risk for breast cancer about treatment options for GSM should include a shared decision-making approach employing principles of informed consent.³⁸ The discussion about treatment options should include the mechanism of action, if known; potential adverse effects; current data regarding efficacy and safety; and the benefits and risks of each treatment option.³⁹ Clinicians should evaluate the woman's perceived need for treatment versus fears regarding breast cancer risk or recurrence risk. Additionally, consultation with a woman's oncology team is suggested.^{18,40,41} Finally, when therapy is initiated, follow-up care should be arranged to ensure improvement in or resolution of symptoms and to assess compliance and barriers to treatment.

TABLE 1. Factors	affecting a	lecision-making	regarding	local	hormone thera	фy
------------------	-------------	-----------------	-----------	-------	---------------	----

	More desirable candidates	Less desirable candidates	
Stage of disease	Stage 0, 1, and 2 or metastatic with limited life expectancy	Stage 3 or metastatic disease with extended life expectancy	
Grade of disease	Low or intermediate grade	High grade	
Lymph node involvement	No	Yes	
Hormone-receptor status	Negative	Positive	
Endocrine therapy	Tamoxifen	AIs	
Risk of recurrence	Low	High	
Time since diagnosis	Remote	Recent	
Symptom severity	Severe	Mild	
Nonhormone therapies	Failed	Effective	
Effect on OOL	Severe	Mild	

AIs, aromatase inhibitor; QOL, quality of life.

598 Menopause, Vol. 25, No. 6, 2018

© 2018 The North American Menopause Society

Nonpharmacologic treatment

Although there are limited data to support the efficacy of over-the-counter products,^{42,43} vaginal moisturizers and lubricants are considered the initial and mainstay treatment options for GSM for women with breast cancer.³ However, these products are poorly differentiated and characterized.⁴⁴ Vaginal moisturizers maintain tissue integrity, elasticity, and pliability and should be used on a regular basis independent of sexual activity.^{45,47} Expert opinion, perhaps more than labeling instructions, advocates frequent use. A 12-week multicenter, randomized clinical trial compared a 10-µcg vaginal estradiol tablet plus placebo gel versus placebo tablet plus vaginal moisturizer versus dual placebo. All three groups demonstrated similar reductions in the most bothersome symptom, with no evidence for superiority of vaginal moisturizer or 10-µcg vaginal estradiol tablet over placebo gel. Limitations of this study include its short duration and use of a placebo gel that could have augmented the placebo response.40

Lubricants reduce friction and discomfort during penetrative sexual activity. The World Health Organization suggests the use of lubricants with an osmolality of < 380 mOsm/kg. However, most clinically available lubricants do not list osmolality on the product label and have an osmolality that exceeds this. An osmolality of < 1,200 mOsm/kg is generally considered acceptable, with higher osmolality associated with mucosal irritation.⁴⁷ Although lubricants with pH levels in the normal range for healthy adult women (3.8-4.5) are acceptable, those with pH levels ≤ 3.0 are considered unacceptable for human use, given the association with vaginal irritation in animal models.^{47,48} Additives such as parabens, glycerin, warming properties, flavors, and spermicides should be avoided because they may irritate vaginal and vulvar tissues.

Lubricants may be water-, silicone-, or oil-based, and patient selection depends on individual preferences and sexual activity. Hybrid products may have characteristics of both lubricants and moisturizers. Hyaluronic acid gel has been associated with reduced clinical symptoms of vaginal dryness in women without breast cancer.⁴⁹ There is concern regarding the use of natural oils (eg, olive, coconut) for lubrication because these products are associated with vaginal infections.⁵⁰

In addition to the use of vaginal moisturizers and lubricants, regular use of vaginal dilators has been recommended for symptomatic vaginal atrophy³ and has been found to reduce pain with vaginal penetration by improving vaginal elasticity.⁵¹ Patients should be counseled regarding the use of vaginal dilators in graduated sizes (either by themselves or with their partners) to promote stretching of vaginal tissues. Vibratory stimulation, applied either to the vagina or directly to the clitoris, has also been studied as a modality to reduce pain with vaginal penetration.⁵² Finally, pelvic floor therapy under the care of a physical therapist trained in the management of pelvic floor disorders is recommended to reduce pain with vaginal penetration; physical therapists may also be helpful in the direction of vaginal dilator therapy.^{53,54}

See Table 2 for a summary of nonpharmacologic treatment strategies.⁵⁵

Treatment type	Specific therapy	Typical use	Notes
Education	Educate regarding potential vulvar and vaginal changes associated with menopause or other low estrogen state; offer therapy as indicated		Education should be offered to women regardless of partner status; regular painless sexual activity or vaginal stim- ulation can help maintain sexual func- tion
Counseling and sex therapy	Cognitive behavioral therapy; mindful- ness exercises		Counseling or sex therapy with a qualified counselor/therapist may be useful for women with dyspareunia or relationship discord. AASECT.org
Lubricants	Water-based Silicone-based Oil-based	Used as needed for sexual activity	Used to increase comfort and pleasure; avoid potential irritants (eg, glycerin, parabens, propylene glycol); can be used with other therapies (hormone and nonhormone)
Moisturizers		Used daily or every few days on a regular basis independent of sexual play to maintain vulvar and vaginal moisture	Mimics normal vaginal secretions; does not restore or reverse cellular/pH changes of GSM; can be used with other therapies (hormone and nonhor- mone)
Self-stimulators/ Vibrators	May be a variety of materials (eg, latex, nonlatex, silicone, hard plastic)	Can be used as needed during sexual play, alone, or with partner	Gently stimulates vulvar and vaginal tis- sues; may facilitate natural lubrication; may help maintain function
Dilators	May be a variety of materials (eg, plastic, silicone, glass)	Ideal duration or frequency of use is unknown	Stretches vaginal tissues
Pelvic floor physical therapy	Examples: education on kinesthetic awareness; pelvic floor muscle relaxation; manual therapies; biofeedback	Used as needed for nonrelaxing pelvic floor muscle dysfunction	Identify a physical therapist who specia- lizes in pelvic floor disorders www.wo- menshealthapta.org/

TABLE 2. Nonpharmacologic treatment strategies for the management of GSM

AASECT, American Association of Sexuality Educators, Counselors, and Therapists; GSM, genitourinary syndrome of menopause. Adapted from Faubion S, et al.⁵⁵

Pharmacologic treatment Vaginal estrogen

Most breast cancer prevention and treatment strategies have focused on lowering or antagonizing ambient estrogen concentrations because estrogen has been demonstrated to increase breast epithelial cell and breast cancer cell proliferation under a variety of in vitro and in vivo conditions, and lowering estradiol levels or tissue responsiveness has proven to reduce development of breast cancer or cancer recurrence.^{1,56,57} Whether the antiestrogen effect is induced by SERMs (eg, tamoxifen or raloxifene) or by lowering endogenous estrogen production (eg, bilateral oophorectomy, ovarian suppression with GnRH agonists, use of AIs), the goal of reducing the estrogen environment to lower breast cancer risk has remained the same. Therefore, both systemic and local estrogen-based treatments are controversial or discouraged for women with a history of or at high risk for breast cancer.²⁰

Consensus to date has been to avoid systemic estrogens in women with a history of breast cancer or at a high risk thereof.⁵⁸⁻⁶¹ Two Swedish randomized clinical trials (RCTs) of systemic hormone therapy (HT) in survivors of early breast cancer have been reported, with conflicting results.^{62,63} The HABITS trial was prematurely stopped after a median followup of 2.1 years because of a statistically significant increased breast cancer recurrence in the HT group.⁶² In the Stockholm trial, at a median follow-up of 4.1 years, the risk of breast cancer recurrence was not associated with systemic HT.⁶³

However, clinical trials of local estrogen therapies (ET) in survivors of breast cancer all suffer design or sample-size flaws. As a result, the applied dose or the amount of active ingredient absorbed into the systemic circulation have become surrogates for breast cancer risk.⁶⁴ The assumption that less estrogen absorption would be less likely to stimulate the breast or foster growth of recurrent breast disease has not been fully established because several lines of evidence suggest that some estrogenic treatments may act more like SERMs in vivo, and estrogens may cause breast cell apoptosis after a period of estrogen deprivation.⁶⁵ The FDA-approved prescribing information cites a prior history of breast cancer as a contraindication to estrogen, with rare exception.⁶⁶ For this discussion, and based on our consensus opinion, we will assume that less systemic absorption confers lower risk.

Absorption of local ET varies by the active ingredient (potency: conjugated equine estrogens (CEE) > estradiol > estrone > estriol).⁶⁷ It also varies according to the amount of active ingredient applied (eg, creams applied to a greater surface area in the vagina are more readily absorbed than vaginal tablets or rings); a product's formulation (bioadhesives are less absorbed than those with penetration enhancers); where in the vagina the treatment is actually applied (lower one-third of the vagina preferred over upper two-thirds due to the vascular connection with the uterus in the upper vagina and potential for greater systemic absorption);⁶⁸ and whether the estrogen is applied topically to the vulvar skin and/or vestibule versus the highly absorptive vaginal epithelium. Absorption also varies greatly by the

condition of the vagina (atrophic vs estrogenized) and how long after application the assessment of absorption is determined. The thin, atrophic vagina is highly absorptive, and this diminishes when the epithelium thickens in response to estrogenization.⁶⁹

Without evidence to support value in clinical decisionmaking, clinicians should be discouraged from measuring serum estrogen levels to assess systemic absorption of local estrogens as an indirect measure of risk for breast cancer recurrence. Although the use of local vaginal estrogens has inconsistently increased serum estradiol levels,⁷⁰⁻⁷² there is a lack of clarity regarding whether higher levels within a narrow postmenopause range associate with increased risk for breast cancer recurrence, and similarly, whether lower levels are reassuring.⁷¹ Even unmeasurable levels by commercially available estrogen assays can effect changes in distant tissues (ie, bone,⁷³ liver⁷⁴).

Observational studies have suggested the relative safety of local ET, although definitive placebo-controlled, RCT data are lacking. A large Finnish observational study identified no elevated risk of de novo breast cancer associated with the use of vaginal ET.⁷⁵ Crandall and colleagues reported no increased breast cancer risk in healthy participants in the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) observational study despite a very large sample size and duration of follow-up.⁷⁶ In one nested case-control study, local ET was not associated with an increased risk of recurrence in women with a history of breast cancer.⁷⁷

Vaginal DHEA

Intravaginal 0.5% (6.5 mg) dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) ovules, also known as prasterone, are FDA-approved for postmenopausal women with moderate to severe dyspareunia caused by vulvovaginal atrophy. Two 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled efficacy trials in women using 6.5 mg DHEA nightly showed significant improvement versus placebo in vaginal cell maturation. pH, and dyspareunia because of GSM.^{78,79} The most common adverse effects are vaginal discharge (5.71%) and abnormal pap tests (2.1%). Intravaginal DHEA tested for 52 weeks showed improvement in all domains of sexual function on the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI).⁸⁰ One RCT of survivors of cancer (most with breast cancer) with moderate vaginal dryness or dyspareunia compared 3.25 mg versus 6.5 mg compounded vaginal DHEA versus placebo over 12 weeks, and although neither dose of DHEA showed improvement in either dryness or dyspareunia, the cohort using the 6.5 mg DHEA showed significant improvement in sexual health on the FSFI.81

Clinical studies with highly sensitive assays show a slight but statistically significant increase in plasma estradiol and testosterone to the lower half of postmenopause values after prasterone administration.⁸² FDA-approved vaginal DHEA has not been studied in survivors of breast cancer, and its label includes a warning against this use. There are no studies directly comparing vaginal DHEA to vaginal estrogen in

CONSENSUS RECOMMENDATIONS

TABLE 3.	Pharmacologic	treatments for	or management	of GSM

Treatment	Product name	Initial dose	Maintenance dose	Notes
Vaginal cream				
Ĩ7β-estradiol	Estrace, generic	0.5-1 gm/d x 2 wk	0.5-1 gm 1-3 x wk	FDA-approved dose is higher (2-4 g/d loading dose; 1 g 1-3 x wk maintenance)
Conjugated estrogens	Premarin	0.5-1 gm/d x 2 wk	0.5-1 gm 1-3 x wk	FDA-approved dose is higher; administration cyclical: 0.5-2 gm/d for 21 d, off 7 d for GSM; 0.5 gm/d for 21 d, off 7 d for dyspareunia or 0.5 g twice wk for dyspareunia
Vaginal inserts				21
Estradiol hemihydrate	Vagifem, Yuvafem	10 μg insert 1/d x 2 wk	1 twice wk	
17β-estradiol soft gel caps	TX-004HR	4, 10, or 25 μg/d x 2 wk	1 twice wk	Not yet approved by FDA
DHEA (prasterone)	Intrarosa	6.5 mg 1/d	1/d	
Vaginal ring		-		
17β-estradiol	Estring	2 mg releases approximately 7.5 μ g/d x 90 d	Changed q 90 d	
SERM				
Ospemifene	Osphena	60 mg/d	60 mg/d	FDA approved for dyspareunia
Other	*	0	e	
Topical lidocaine		4% aqueous lidocaine	Applied to the vestibule a few minutes before sexual activity	Can be used as an adjunct to other therapies including lubricants, moisturizers, physical therapy

DHEA, dehydroepiandrosterone; GSM, genitourinary syndrome of menopause; SERM, selective estrogen-receptor modulator. From The North American Menopause Society³ and Faubion S, et al.⁵⁵

efficacy or hormone levels, and for this reason, there can be no recommendation of one over the other in survivors of breast cancer.

SERMs

Ospemifene is a systemically administered SERM approved for the treatment of moderate to severe dyspareunia associated with postmenopausal vulvovaginal atrophy, with favorable effects on bone density and negligible endometrial safety concerns. Despite antiestrogenic effects on the breast in preclinical trials, the effects of ospemifene on breast density or breast cancer risk have not been systematically established in healthy women nor has ospemifene been studied in women with breast cancer. Although it is not contraindicated for women in Europe with a history of breast cancer who have completed treatment,⁸³ it is not approved by FDA for use in US women with breast cancer.²¹

Lidocaine

Topical lidocaine for insertional dyspareunia was studied in 46 postmenopausal survivors of breast cancer with severe GSM, dyspareunia, increased sexual distress scores, or abnormal sexual function.⁸⁴ A double-blind RCT evaluating 4% aqueous lidocaine versus saline applied with a cotton ball to the vestibule for 3 minutes before vaginal penetration showed a reduction in dyspareunia of 88% versus 33% with saline.

See Table $3^{3,55}$ for a summary of pharmacologic treatment options.

Off-label hormone options

Vaginal testosterone

The off-label use of vaginal testosterone therapy for treatment of GSM is controversial. Advocates cite evidence that genitourinary tissues derived from the embryonic urogenital sinus are rich in testosterone as well as estrogen receptors (ERs).^{85,86}

Clinical use of vaginal testosterone therapies is limited because no currently available local (or systemic) testosterone formulations are FDA-approved for administration to women. In one trial, treatment of 80 healthy postmenopausal women for 12 weeks with a compounded vaginal cream containing $300 \,\mu g$ of testosterone propionate improved vaginal signs and symptoms.⁸⁷

Because testosterone is converted by the aromatase enzyme to estradiol, concerns with increasing serum estradiol levels in response to testosterone therapy have confined participants in the few trials of vaginally administered testosterone in women with a history of breast cancer to those also taking AIs, which block this conversion.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰ In two of these trials, serum testosterone levels were not fully characterized,^{88,90} whereas in the third, serum testosterone reached the supraphysiologic range (200 ng/dL) after 4 weeks of treatment. Despite the fact that women in the third trial were taking AIs, 12% had persistently elevated estradiol levels as well.⁹¹

Vaginal estriol

Estriol vaginal preparations (gels, creams, and suppositories) are manufactured and government regulated in a number of countries outside the United States where placebo-controlled trials have found benefit for vaginal symptoms in healthy postmenopausal women.^{92,93} Limited evidence reported in a small RCT suggests that 0.5 mg vaginal estriol cream may also prevent recurrent UTIs.⁹⁴ In one 12-week, open-label pilot study of 16 women with a history of breast cancer taking an AI, 0.03 mg estriol tablet in combination with lactobacilli improved vaginal symptoms.⁹⁵ Claims for breast safety of estriol administration have not been substantiated in RCTs in either healthy women or those with a history of breast cancer. In vitro studies demonstrate stimulation of breast cancer cells by estriol.⁹⁶ Estriol is not FDA approved for any indication.

Vaginal laser

The FDA has approved laser therapy for several medical indications (eg, refractive eye surgery, dental procedures, tumor and cataract removal, cosmetic surgery). Available data suggest that inducing morphologic changes in vaginal tissue with laser intervention can alleviate the symptoms of vaginal dryness and dyspareunia accompanying GSM.⁹⁷⁻¹⁰³

When treating GSM in women with or at high risk for breast cancer, the microablative fractional CO_2 laser or the nonablative vaginal Erbium YAG laser (VEL) are options that avoid hormone interventions, a potential advantage over pharmacologic therapies. Although the CO_2 laser appears to target more superficial tissue, the VEL appears to remodel deep collagen and promote collagen synthesis. This effect may promote production of new collagen that ultimately could result in improved tissue integrity and elasticity.

A recent parallel-group, short-term Brazilian clinical trial of the CO₂ laser compared with 1 mg estriol cream in a cohort of 45 women suggests efficacy of laser alone or in combination with estriol after 20 weeks.¹⁰⁴ Recently, Pagano and associates published a retrospective case series of 82 survivors of breast cancer who failed to have adequate relief of their GSM symptoms with nonestrogenic local treatments.¹⁰⁵ These women were treated with three cycles of CO₂ laser at 30- to 40-day intervals and demonstrated significant improvements in genital sensitivity during intercourse, vaginal dryness, decreased itching/stinging, dyspareunia, dysuria, bleeding, and movement-related pain when assessed after the three treatments. These benefits were significant regardless of the woman's age or type of adjuvant breast cancer therapy. The authors noted that the optimal number of treatment cycles and the need for and number of retreatments remained to be defined and called for randomized, prospective comparative trials.¹⁰⁶ A prospective study of CO₂ laser in 20 survivors of breast cancer demonstrated reduction in clinical symptoms of GSM in addition to significant changes in inflammatory and modulatory cytokines, but no change in the vaginal microbiome 30 days after the second laser treatment.¹⁰⁷

A small Argentinian trial compared the Erbium laser (three treatments over 8 weeks; pretreatment with estriol 0.5 mg ovules for 2 weeks) with 0.5 mg estriol ovules administered for 8 weeks and noted significant and long-lasting improvement in symptoms in the laser-treated group after up to 18 months of follow-up.¹⁰⁸

Although large, sham-controlled, RCTs have not been completed to date in women with or without breast cancer, one is planned (IBC Alliance trial). Available data suggest the VEL or CO_2 lasers have the potential to ameliorate distressing GSM for survivors of breast cancer without the need for local hormone intervention. Placebo or active-controlled trials, long-term safety follow-up, and additional economic analyses are needed.

SPECIFIC PATIENT POPULATIONS

In this section, we review the data regarding management of GSM in specific patient populations. Treatment should be individualized, taking into account recurrence risk, the severity of symptoms, effect on QOL, and personal preferences. In general, women with or at high risk for breast cancer should be offered nonhormone therapies as first-line treatments for management of symptoms (Table 2).⁵⁵

Consideration of the use of local hormone therapies should include a woman's oncologist. Local hormone therapies, including intravaginal estrogens and DHEA, lack data in breast cancer populations but can be considered for use in some women, using a shared decision-making approach. Ospemifene is not FDA approved for use in women with breast cancer, but as with DHEA and vaginal ET, can be considered for use in high-risk women who are not concurrently taking another SERM for breast cancer risk reduction. The use of compounded local hormone therapies is not recommended because of concerns about the lack of FDA regulation and monitoring and the possibility of overdosing or underdosing. Laser therapies lack adequate RCTs and longterm safety and efficacy data but may be considered in women who prefer nonhormone treatments after a discussion of potential risks, benefits, potential need for ongoing treatments, and cost (Table 4).

Women at high risk for breast cancer

Because approximately 75% of breast cancers are hormone-receptor positive, it is understandable that some women at high risk for this disease or with a biopsy that confirms high-risk lesions, as well as the clinicians caring for them, may be apprehensive about the use of local hormone therapies.

In women with a higher risk of breast cancer because of family history, data suggest that the use of systemic ET does not increase the risk of invasive breast cancer. In the WHI, use of CEE in women with an affected first-degree relative was not found to significantly increase risk of breast cancer compared with those receiving placebo.¹⁰⁹ In the observational Two Sister study, neither systemic ET nor estrogen plus progesterone therapy (EPT) was found to elevate the rate of breast cancer diagnosed before age 50 in sisters of women with invasive or in situ breast cancer.¹¹⁰

Three observational studies suggest that use of systemic HT does not further increase the risk of breast cancer in carriers of the *BRCA*-mutation over baseline risk after oophorectomy.¹¹¹⁻¹¹³ Although these studies are limited because of observational design, limited size, and short duration of follow-up, they provide some reassurance that systemic HT does not increase breast cancer risk in carriers of the *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation with intact breasts, a finding concordant with a systematic review.¹¹⁴ However, risk-reducing

TABLE 4. Treatment options for management of GSM in specific patient populations

General guidelines

- Individualize treatment, taking into account risk of recurrence, severity of symptoms, effect on QOL, and personal preferences
- Moisturizers and lubricants, pelvic floor physical therapy, and dilator therapy are first-line treatments
 Involve treating oncologist in decision making when considering the use of local hormone therapies^a
- Ospemifene, an oral SERM, has not been studied in women at risk for breast cancer and is not FDA-approved for use in women with or at high risk for breast cancer
- Off-label use of compounded vaginal testosterone or estriol is not recommended
- Laser therapy may be considered in women who prefer a nonhormone approach; women must be counseled regarding lack of long-term safety and efficacy data
- Women at high risk for breast cancer^t
 - Local hormone therapies are a reasonable option for women who have failed nonhormone treatment
 - Observational data do not suggest increased risk of breast cancer with systemic or local estrogen therapies beyond baseline risk
- Women with ER-positive breast cancers on tamoxifen
 - Tamoxifen is a SERM that acts as an ER antagonist in breast tissue; small transient elevations in serum hormone levels noted with local hormone therapies in women on tamoxifen are less concerning than in women on AIs
 - Women with persistent, severe symptoms who have failed nonhormone treatments and who have factors suggesting a low risk of recurrence may be candidates for local hormone therapy
- Women with ER-positive breast cancers on AIs
 - Als block conversion of androgen to estrogen, resulting in undetectable serum estradiol levels; transient elevations in estradiol levels may be of concern
 - GSM symptoms are often more severe
- Women with severe symptoms who have failed nonhormone treatments may still be candidates for local hormone therapies after review with the woman's oncologist vs consider switching to tamoxifen
- Women with triple-negative breast cancers • Theoretically, the use of local hormone therapy in women with a history of triple-negative disease is reasonable, but data are lacking
- Women with metastatic disease
 - QOL, comfort, and intimacy may be a priority for many women with metastatic disease
- Use of local hormone therapy in women with metastatic disease and probable extended survival may be viewed differently than in women with limited survival when QOL may be a priority

AI, aromatase inhibitor; ER, estrogen receptor; GSM, genitourinary syndrome of menopause; QOL, quality of life; SERM, selective estrogen-receptor modulator.

^aLocal hormone therapies are vaginal estrogen and intravaginal DHEA (prasterone).

^bLifetime risk > 20%, carriers of the BRCA mutation, atypical ductal hyperplasia, lobular carcinoma in situ, or ductal carcinoma in situ.

salpingo-oophorectomy in patients with BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations was associated with a lower risk of both developing a first diagnosis of breast cancer and breast cancer-specific mortality, suggesting that reduction of estrogen is beneficial in this population.¹¹⁵

Given the reassuring findings in studies assessing the safety of systemic ET in high-risk women, it is plausible that local, low-dose vaginal ET, which results in substantially less systemic absorption than systemic ET, should not elevate risk of breast cancer in women at elevated baseline risk and thus can be discussed as part of shared decision-making. Oral ospemifene and vaginal DHEA have not been studied in highrisk women and lack a specific indication for use in this population.

Women with ER-positive breast cancers

Estrogen deprivation is a key therapeutic approach for the treatment of both early stage and metastatic ER-positive breast cancers and prevention of new primary breast cancers.¹¹⁶ The principal strategies employed are to block estrogen at the level of the receptor with tamoxifen or to reduce estrogen production with AIs. Tamoxifen is a SERM, which has both partial estrogen agonist and antagonist effects.¹¹⁷ Vaginal effects of tamoxifen vary, and some women note vaginal dryness with or without an increase in vaginal discharge.¹¹⁸ Aromatase inhibitors inactivate or block the peripheral conversion of androgens to estrogen by inhibiting aromatase, potentially resulting in worsening or development of vaginal dryness and dyspareunia, decreased libido, and changes in sexual response.

The two estrogen preparations with the lowest systemic absorption are 10 µg estradiol tablets, which result in a typical serum level of 4.6 pg/mL and a maximum annual delivered systemic dose of 1.14 mg, and the estradiol vaginal ring, which has a typical serum level of 8.0 pg/mL and a maximum annual delivered systemic dose of 2.74 mg.64 As vaginal tissue is progressively estrogenized and restored to health, estrogen absorption declines, and serum concentration stabilizes.⁶⁷ The initial increase in serum estradiol is usually transient and with the estrogen ring and 10 µg estradiol tablets usually remains within the postmenopause range (< 20 pg/mL).

First-line therapy for the treatment of GSM in women with ER-positive breast cancer, as with all women with a history of breast cancer, should start with nonhormone options (Table 2).⁵⁵ Local hormone treatment of women on either tamoxifen or AIs should be individualized, taking into account the uncertainties of risks along with the risks of disease recurrence and severity of vaginal symptoms.¹⁶ The use of intravaginal estrogens in women on tamoxifen whose ERs are blocked is less worrisome to most oncologists than the use of intravaginal estrogens in women on AIs in which estrogen levels are significantly lowered and any systemic absorption may negate the efficacy of treatment. Women on tamoxifen with persistent, severe symptoms who have failed nonhormone therapy and who have a low risk of recurrence may be candidates for local estrogen or intravaginal DHEA. However, use of intravaginal DHEA should be used with caution in women who have androgen-receptor positive tumors and are using either an AI or tamoxifen. There are

no data on safety or efficacy of DHEA in women on AIs. In women with more remote cancers, local hormone therapies may be considered in those at lower risk for recurrence, those with more severe genitourinary symptoms affecting QOL, and those who have failed nonhormone, conservative treatments. Recommendations issued from The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), the Endocrine Society, and The North American Menopause Society (NAMS) cautiously support the use of local HT in consultation with the woman's oncologist.^{18,40,41}

Women with triple-negative breast cancers

No data address the safety or efficacy of local HT in survivors of triple-negative breast cancer. Given that hormone manipulation and lowering of estrogen levels is not a mainstay of adjuvant treatment in this patient population, it is reasonable to consider use of local HT for vaginal symptoms. However, it must be noted that tumors can be heterogeneous, and some ostensibly ER-negative disease may have some ER-positive components.¹¹⁹⁻¹²³ Further, in women with remaining breast tissue and especially those who are at high risk of new primary disease (eg, carriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation), theoretical elevation of systemic estradiol levels may increase the risk of new primary disease, given that prophylactic oophorectomy decreases the risk of the development of new breast cancer in women at risk but without cancer and in survivors with mutations.¹¹⁵ Nevertheless, despite a lack of data, in women who are long-term survivors of ER-negative disease for which risk of recurrence is low and symptomatology is troubling, consideration of local HT is reasonable.

Women with metastatic disease

Women with metastatic breast cancer are a diverse group with significant heterogeneity in prognosis, and there are no data to inform decisions regarding the treatment of GSM. Despite the lack of data in this population of women, if symptoms are severe enough and QOL is a priority, the decision to use local HT in women with receptor-positive metastatic disease may be reasonable after a discussion of risks and benefits, particularly for those not using AIs. In women with ER-negative disease or disease that no longer appears to be responsive to endocrine therapy, any of the available treatment options can be discussed as part of shared decision-making that takes into account the importance of QOL, comfort, and improved sexual function.

CONCLUSION

It is estimated that more than 2 million US survivors of breast cancer are affected by GSM, with most receiving no treatment.⁸ There are numerous, well-documented barriers to the treatment of GSM in postmenopausal women, and the paucity of data in women with breast cancer is an additional barrier for this population.

Despite current evidence and the cautious support of multiple medical societies, including ACOG and NAMS, for the use of local ET for management of GSM when other nonpharmacologic and nonhormone therapies have failed, ^{18,40,41} the safety of these therapies in women with or at high risk for breast cancer has not been definitively established, and recommendations for use remain controversial. Adding to the confusion is the FDA-required class labeling of all products containing estrogen, including local ET, to warn of risk for multiple diseases, including breast cancer, despite the lack of data for low-dose local therapies with systemic absorption within normal postmenopause levels.^{124,125} As a result, clinicians often take the path of nonmaleficence or *primum non nocere*, and women are left without treatment.

Engaging clinicians caring for this population to ask about and treat GSM is important for QOL and requires consensus about treatment because clinical data are lacking. Additional research to further define safety and efficacy of available therapies, including vaginal estrogens, intravaginal DHEA, and different types of lasers, and to develop new therapies is critical. Modifying the product labeling of low dose vaginal estrogen products to appropriately reflect the safety profile will help inform both women and their providers. Women with or at high risk for breast cancer deserve high quality, comprehensive care, including evidence-based management of GSM. Consensus from this multidisciplinary group, while awaiting more data, provides a framework for therapy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

NAMS and ISSWSH appreciate the contributions of the Expert Consensus Panel and the efforts of the planners, reviewers, and staff. We also would like to thank those companies that provided grant funding to help support this activity. All those who were in a position to control and influence the content of this activity were required to disclose any relevant financial relationship(s) of the individual and their spouse/partner that had occurred within the last 12 months with any commercial interest(s) whose products or services are related to the CME content. After reviewing disclosures from all involved in the content of this activity, NAMS has implemented mechanisms to identify and resolve any conflicts for all involved, including review of content by those who had no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments: Stephanie S. Faubion, MD, FACP, NCMP, IF; Director, Executive and International Medicine; Director, Office of Women's Health; Associate Professor of Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN. Sheryl A. Kingsberg, PhD; Chief, Division of Behavioral Medicine, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, MacDonald Women's Hospital; Professor, Departments of Reproductive Biology and Psychiatry, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, OH. Lisa C. Larkin, MD, FACP, NCMP, IF; President and CEO, Lisa Larkin, MD, & Associates; Director, Women's Corporate Health, TriHealth, Cincinnati, OH. Gloria A. Bachmann, MD; Professor of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Medicine; Associate Dean for Women's Health; Director, Women's Health Institute, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ. Lisa Astalos Chism, DNP, APRN, BC, NCMP, CSC, FAANP; Clinical Director, Women's Wellness Clinic; Sexual Health Counselor and Educator, Karmanos Cancer Institute; Adjunct Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI. Shari B. Goldfarb, MD; Medical Oncologist, Departments of Medicine, Epidemiology, and Biostatistics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, and Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY. Risa Kagan, MD, FACOG, CCD, NCMP; Clinical Professor, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, East Bay Physicians Medical Group, Berkeley, CA. Andrew M. Kaunitz, MD, FACOG, NCMP; University of Florida Term Professor and Associate Chairman, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Florida College of Medicine-Jacksonville, Jacksonville, FL. Michael L. Krychman, MD, FACOG, MPH, IF; Executive Director, Southern California Center for Sexual Health and Survivorship Medicine; Associate Clinical Professor, University of California, Irvine, CA. Sharon J. Parish, MD, IF, NCMP; Professor of Clinical Medicine; Professor of Medicine in Clinical Psychiatry, Departments of Medicine and Psychiatry, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY. Ann H. Partridge, MD, MPH; Professor of Medicine, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA. JoAnn V. Pinkerton, MD, FACOG, NCMP; NAMS Executive Director; Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division Director Midlife Health, University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, VA. Tami S. Rowen, MD, MS; Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, CA. Marla Shapiro, CM, MDCM, CCFP, MHSC, FRCPC, FCFP, NCMP; Professor, Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada. James A. Simon, MD, CCD, NCMP, IF, FACOG; Clinical Professor, George Washington University; Women's Health and Research Consultants, Washington, DC. Cynthia A. Stuenkel, MD, NCMP; Clinical Professor of Medicine, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla, CA.

NAMS and ISSWSH recognize the contributions of Richard Sadovsky, MD, Moderator; Ms. Dana Demas, Medical Writer; Ms. Carolyn Develen, NAMS Chief Operating Officer; and Ms. Kathy Method, MA, NAMS Communications Manager.

Financial disclosure/conflicts of interest: For the Expert Consensus Panel: Dr. Partridge, Dr. Pinkerton, and Dr. Stuenkel each report no financial relationships. Dr. Bachmann reports Consultant/Advisory Board for Amag and Exeltis. Dr. Chism reports Consultant/Advisory Board for Hologic; Speakers' Bureau for Amag and JDS Therapeutics; Royalties/ Patents for Jones and Barlett. Dr. Faubion reports Consultant/ Advisory Board for Mithra and Procter & Gamble. Dr. Goldfarb reports Consultant/Advisory Board for Amag, Adgero Biopharmaceuticals; Grant/Research Support for Valeant and Health Tell. Dr. Kagan reports Consultant/Advisory Board for Allergan, Amag, Amgen, Azure, Heptares, Merck, Palatin, Valeant, and Pfizer; Grant/Research Support for TherapeuticsMD; Speakers' Bureau for Amag, Pfizer, and Valeant. Dr. Kaunitz reports Consultant/Advisory Board for Allergan, Amag, Bayer, Mithra, Pfizer, and Shionogi; Grant/Research Support for Bayer, Radius, and TherapeuticsMD; Royalties/ Patents for UpToDate. Dr. Kingsberg reports Consultant/ Advisory Board for Acerus, Amag, Duchesnay, Emotional Brain, Endoceutics, Materna, Palatin, Pfizer, Sermonix, Strategic Scientific Solutions, Symbiomix, TherapeuticsMD, and Valeant; Speakers' Bureau for Amag, Endoceutics, and Valeant; Stock/Ownership for Viveve. Dr. Krychman reports Consultant/Advisory Board for Applied Biology, Duchesnay, Materna, Palatin, Shionogi, TherapeuticsMD, Valeant, and Viveve; Speakers' Bureau for Duchesnay, Shionogi, and Valeant. Dr. Larkin reports Consultant/Advisory Board for Palatin, Procter & Gamble, TherapeuticsMD, and Valeant. Dr. Parish reports Consultant/Advisory Board for Allergan, Amag, and Valeant; Speakers' Bureau for Pfizer and Valeant. Dr. Rowen reports Employment for Genomic (spouse). Dr. Shapiro reports Consultant/Advisory Board for Amag, Amgen, Merck, and Mithra; Speakers' Bureau for Amag, Amgen, Merck, Novo Nordisk, and Pfizer. Dr. Simon reports Consultant/Advisory Board for AbbVie, Allergan, Amag, Amgen, Ascend, Azure, Bayer, CEEK Enterprises, Covance, Millendo, Mitsubishi Tanabe, ObsEva SA, Radius, Sanofi, Sebela, Sermonix, Shionogi, Symbiotec, TherapeuticsMD, and Valeant; Speakers' Bureau for Duchesnay, Novo Nordisk, Shionogi, and Valeant; Grant/Research Support for AbbVie, Agile, Allergan, Bayer, New England Research Institutes, ObsEva SA, Palatin, Symbio, and TherapeuticsMD; Stock/ Ownership for Sermonix.

For additional contributors, Ms. Demas, Ms. Develen, and Ms. Method report no financial relationships. For moderator, Dr. Sadovsky reports Speakers' Bureau for Valeant.

REFERENCES

- Goss PE, Ingle JN, Ales-Martinez JE, et al; NCIC CTG MAP.3 Study Investigators. Exemestane for breast-cancer prevention in postmenopausal women. N Engl J Med 2011;364:2381-2391Erratum in: N Engl J Med 2011;365:1361.
- Portman DJ, Gass ML. Vulvovaginal Atrophy Terminology Consensus Conference Panel. Genitourinary syndrome of menopause: new terminology for vulvovaginal atrophy from the International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health and the North American Menopause Society. *Menopause* 2014;21:1063-1068.
- 3. Management of symptomatic vulvovaginal atrophy: 2013 position statement of The North American Menopause Society. *Menopause* 2013;20:888-902.
- Kwan KW, Chlebowski RT. Sexual dysfunction and aromatase inhibitor use in survivors of breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2009;9:219-224.
- 5. Mac Bride MB, Rhodes DJ, Shuster LT. Vulvovaginal atrophy. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2010;85:87-94.
- 6. Santoro N, Komi J. Prevalence and impact of vaginal symptoms among postmenopausal women. J Sex Med 2009;6:2133-2142.
- 7. Schover LR, Baum GP, Fuson LA, Brewster A, Melhem-Bertrandt A. Sexual problems during the first 2 years of adjuvant treatment with aromatase inhibitors. *J Sex Med* 2014;11:3102-3111.

Menopause, Vol. 25, No. 6, 2018 605

- Cook ED, Iglehart EI, Baum G, Schover LL, Newman LL. Missing documentation in breast cancer survivors: genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Menopause* 2017;24:1360-1364.
- Kingsberg S, Larkin L. Shining the light on genitourinary syndrome of menopause in survivors of breast cancer. *Menopause* 2017;24:1336-1337.
- Biglia N, Bounous VE, D'Alonzo M, et al. Vaginal atrophy in breast cancer survivors: attitude and approaches among oncologists. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2017;17:611-617.
- Nappi RE, Kokot-Kierepa M. Vaginal Health: Insights, Views & Attitudes (VIVA)—results from an international survey. *Climacteric* 2012;15:36-44.
- Daly B, Olopade OI, Hou N, Yao K, Winchester DJ, Huo D. Evaluation of the quality of adjuvant endocrine therapy delivery for breast cancer care in the united states. *JAMA Oncol* 2017;3:928-935.
- Goss PE, Ingle JN, Pritchard KI, et al. Extending aromatase-inhibitor adjuvant therapy to 10 years. N Engl J Med 2016;375:209-219.
- Falk SJ, Bober S. Vaginal health during breast cancer treatment. Curr Oncol Rep 2016;18:32.
- Rechis R, Reynolds KA, Beckjord EB, Nutt S, Burns RM, Schaefer JS. *"I Learned to Live With It'' Is Not Good enough: Challenges Reported by Post-Treatment Cancer Survivors in the Livestrong Surveys: A Livestrong Report, 2010.* May 2011. www.livestrong.org/sites/default/ files/what-we-do/reports/LSSurvivorSurveyReport_final.pdf. Accessed March 12, 2018.
- Vaz-Luis I, Partridge AH. Exogenous reproductive hormone use in breast cancer survivors and previvors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2018;15: 249-261.
- Committee Opinion No. 663 summary: aromatase inhibitors in gynecologic practice. *Obstet Gynecol* 2016;127:1187-1188.
- American College of Obstertricians and Gynecologists' Committee on Gynecologic Practice, Farrell R. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 659: the use of vaginal estrogen in women with a history of estrogendependent breast cancer. *Obstet Gynecol* 2016;127:e93-e96.
- Faubion SS, Loprinzi CL, Ruddy KJ. Management of hormone deprivation symptoms after cancer. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2016;91:1133-1146.
- Runowicz CD, Leach CR, Henry NL, et al. American Cancer Society/ American Society of Clinical Oncology breast cancer survivorship care guideline. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:611-635.
- Santen RJ, Stuenkel CA, Davis SR, Pinkerton JV, Gompel A, Lumsden MA. Managing menopausal symptoms and associated clinical issues in breast cancer survivors. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2017;102: 3647-3661.
- Sousa MS, Peate M, Jarvis S, Hickey M, Friedlander M. A clinical guide to the management of genitourinary symptoms in breast cancer survivors on endocrine therapy. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* 2017;9:269-285.
- Sadovsky R, Alam W, Enecilla M, Cosiquien R, Tipu O, Etheridge-Otey J. Sexual problems among a specific population of minority women aged 40-80 years attending a primary care practice. J Sex Med 2006;3:795-803.
- Parish SJ, Hahn SR. Hypoactive sexual desire disorder: a review of epidemiology, biopsychology, diagnosis, and treatment. Sex Med Rev 2016;4:103-120.
- 25. Annon JS. The Behavioural Treatment of Sexual Problems. *Enabling Systems* 1974.
- Mick J, Hughes M, Cohen MZ. Sexuality and cancer: How oncology nurses can address it BETTER. Oncol Nurs Forum 2003;30:152-153.
- Huang AJ, Gregorich SE, Kuppermann M, et al. Day-to-day impact of vaginal aging questionnaire: a multidimensional measure of the impact of vaginal symptoms on functioning and well-being in postmenopausal women. *Menopause* 2015;22:144-154.
- Bober SL, Reese JB, Barbera L, et al. How to ask and what to do: a guide for clinical inquiry and intervention regarding female sexual health after cancer. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care* 2016;10:44-54.
- National Cancer Institute. Breast Cancer Risk Assessment Tool. Last modified May 16, 2011. www.cancer.gov/bcrisktool/. Accessed March 12, 2018.
- Radiology LTD. Radiology LTD Claus Model Calculator.http://youngridge-2035.herokuapp.com. Accessed March 12, 2018.
- Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium Risk Calculator. V2.0. Last modified January 31, 2016. https://tools.bcsc-scc.org/BC5yearrisk/. Accessed March 12, 2018.
- IBIS Breast Cancer Risk Evaluation Tool. V8. Last updated September 17, 2017. www.ems-trials.org/riskevaluator. Accessed March 12, 2018.

- Breast Cancer Genetics Referral Screening Tool (B-RSTTM). 2012. www.breastcancergenescreen.org. Accessed March 12, 2018.
- Costantino JP, Gail MH, Pee D, et al. Validation studies for models projecting the risk of invasive and total breast cancer incidence. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999;91:1541-1548.
- 35. Moyer VA. US Preventive Services Task Force. Medications to decrease the risk for breast cancer in women: recommendations from the US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;159:698-708.
- Freedman AN, Yu B, Gail MH, et al. Benefit/risk assessment for breast cancer chemoprevention with raloxifene or tamoxifen for women age 50 years or older. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:2327-2333.
- 37. Saslow D, Boetes C, Burke W, et al. American Cancer Society Breast Cancer Advisory Group. American Cancer Society Guidelines for breast screening with MRI as an adjunct to mammography. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2007;57:75-89.
- Makoul G, Clayman ML. An integrative model of shared decision making in medical encounters. *Patient Educ Couns* 2006;60:301-312.
- Elwyn G, Frosch D, Thomson R, et al. Shared decision making: a model for clinical practice. J Gen Intern Med 2012;27:1361-1367.
- Stuenkel CA, Davis SR, Gompel A, et al. Treatment of symptoms of the menopause: an Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015;100:3975-4011.
- The NAMS 2017 Hormone Therapy Position Statement Advisory Panel. The 2017 hormone therapy position statement of The North American Menopause Society. *Menopause* 2017;24:728-753.
- Bygdeman M, Swahn ML. Replens versus dienoestrol cream in the symptomatic treatment of vaginal atrophy in postmenopausal women. *Maturitas* 1996;23:259-263.
- 43. Loprinzi CL, Abu-Ghazaleh S, Sloan JA, et al. Phase III randomized double-blind study to evaluate the efficacy of a polycarbophil-based vaginal moisturizer in women with breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 1997;15:969-973.
- Carter J, Goldfrank D, Schover LR. Simple strategies for vaginal health promotion in cancer survivors. J Sex Med 2011;8:549-559.
- Patterson J, Millheiser L, Krychman ML. Moisturizers, lubricants, and vulvar hygiene products: issues, answers, and clinical implications. *Curr* Sex Health Rep 2016;8:213-221.
- 46. Mitchell CM, Reed SD, Diam S, et al. Efficacy of vaginal estradiol or vaginal moisturizer vs placebo for treating postmenopausal vulvovaginal symptoms: a randomized clinical trial [published online ahead of print March 19, 2018]. JAMA Intern Med.
- Edwards D, Panay N. Treating vulvovaginal atrophy/genitourinary syndrome of menopause: how important is vaginal lubricant and moisturizer composition? *Climacteric* 2016;19:151-161.
- Kaminsky M, Willigan DA. pH and the potential irritancy of douche formulations to the vaginal mucosa of the albino rabbit and rat. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1982;20:193-196.
- 49. Chen J, Geng L, Song X, Li H, Giordan N, Liao Q. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of hyaluronic acid vaginal gel to ease vaginal dryness: a multicenter, randomized, controlled, open-label, parallelgroup, clinical trial. J Sex Med 2013;10:1575-1584.
- Brown JM, Hess KL, Brown S, Murphy C, Walsman AL, Hezareh M. Intravaginal practices and risk of bacterial vaginosis and candidiasis infection among a cohort of women in the United States. *Obstet Gynecol* 2013;121:773-780.
- Stinesen Kollberg K, Waldenstrom AC, Bergmark K, et al. Reduced vaginal elasticity, reduced lubrication, and deep and superficial dyspareunia in irradiated gynecological cancer survivors. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:772-779.
- Schroder M, Mell LK, Hurteau JA, et al. Clitoral therapy device for treatment of sexual dysfunction in irradiated cervical cancer patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005;61:1078-1086.
- Capobianco G, Donolo E, Borghero G, Dessole F, Cherchi PL, Dessole S. Effects of intravaginal estriol and pelvic floor rehabilitation on urogenital aging in postmenopausal women. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2012;285:397-403.
- Faubion SS, Shuster LT, Bharucha AE. Recognition and management of nonrelaxing pelvic floor dysfunction. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2012;87:187-193.
- Faubion SS, Sood R, Kapoor E. Genitourinary syndrome of menopause: management strategies for the clinician. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2017;92:1842-1849.
- 56. Cuzick J, Sestak I, Bonanni B, et al. SERM Chemoprevention of Breast Cancer Overview Group. Selective oestrogen receptor modulators in

606 *Menopause, Vol. 25, No. 6, 2018*

© 2018 The North American Menopause Society

prevention of breast cancer: an updated meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet* 2013;381:1827-1834.

- Kotsopoulos J, Huzarski T, Gronwald J, et al. Breast Cancer Clinical Study Group. Bilateral oophorectomy and breast cancer risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2017;109; doi: 10.1093/jnci/djw177.
- Col NF, Kim JA, Chlebowski RT. Menopausal hormone therapy after breast cancer: a meta-analysis and critical appraisal of the evidence. *Breast Cancer Res* 2005;7:R535-R540.
- Ponzone R, Biglia N, Jacomuzzi ME, Maggiorotto F, Mariani L, Sismondi P. Vaginal oestrogen therapy after breast cancer: is it safe? *Eur J Cancer* 2005;41:2673-2681.
- 60. Pritchard KI, Khan H, Levine M. Steering Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Care and Treatment of Breast Cancer. Clinical practice guidelines for the care and treatment of breast cancer: 14 The role of hormone replacement therapy in women with a previous diagnosis of breast cancer. CMAJ 2002;166:1017-1022.
- SOGC. Use of hormonal replacement therapy after treatment of breast cancer. Number 142, May 2004. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2005;88:216-221.
- Holmberg L, Anderson H. HABITS Steering and Data Monitoring Committees. HABITS (hormonal replacement therapy after breast cancer—is it safe?), a randomised comparison: trial stopped. *Lancet* 2004;363:453-455.
- von Schoultz E, Rutqvist LE. Stockholm Breast Cancer Study Group. Menopausal hormone therapy after breast cancer: the Stockholm randomized trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005;97:533-535.
- Pruthi S, Simon JA, Early AP. Current overview of the management of urogenital atrophy in women with breast cancer. *Breast J* 2011;17:403-408.
- Jordan VC. The new biology of estrogen-induced apoptosis applied to treat and prevent breast cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2015;22:R1-R31.
- 66. Premarin [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA: Wyeth; 2017.
- Santen RJ. Vaginal administration of estradiol: Effects of dose, preparation and timing on plasma estradiol levels. *Climacteric* 2015;18:121-134.
- Cicinelli E, De Ziegler D, Morgese S, Bulletti C, Luisi D, Schonauer LM. First uterine pass effect'' is observed when estradiol is placed in the upper but not lower third of the vagina. *Fertil Steril* 2004;81:1414-1416.
- Eugster-Hausmann M, Waitzinger J, Lehnick D. Minimized estradiol absorption with ultra-low-dose 10 microg 17beta-estradiol vaginal tablets. *Climacteric* 2010;13:219-227.
- Kendall A, Dowsett M, Folkerd E, Smith I. Caution: vaginal estradiol appears to be contraindicated in postmenopausal women on adjuvant aromatase inhibitors. *Ann Oncol* 2006;17:584-587.
- 71. Wills S, Ravipati A, Venuturumilli P, et al. Effects of vaginal estrogens on serum estradiol levels in postmenopausal breast cancer survivors and women at risk of breast cancer taking an aromatase inhibitor or a selective estrogen receptor modulator. J Oncol Pract 2012;8:144-148.
- 72. Goldfarb SB, Dickler M, Dnistrian A, et al. Absorption of low dose 10 (g intravaginal 17-β estradiol (Vagifem) in postmenopausal women with breast cancer on aromatase inhibitors [abstract]. *Cancer Res* 2012;72 (24 suppl.)Abstract P2-12-05.
- Naessen T, Berglund L, Ulmsten U. Bone loss in elderly women prevented by ultralow doses of parenteral 17beta-estradiol. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1997;177:115-119.
- Naessen T, Rodriguez-Macias K, Lithell H. Serum lipid profile improved by ultra-low doses of 17 beta-estradiol in elderly women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001;86:2757-2762.
- Lyytinen H, Pukkala E, Ylikorkala O. Breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women using estrogen-only therapy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 108:1354-1360.
- Crandall CJ, Hovey KM, Andrews CA, et al. Breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and cardiovascular events in participants who used vaginal estrogen in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. *Menopause* 2018;25:11-20.
- 77. Le Ray I, Dell'Aniello S, Bonnetain F, Azoulay L, Suissa S. Local estrogen therapy and risk of breast cancer recurrence among hormonetreated patients: a nested case-control study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012;135:603-609.
- Archer DF, Labrie F, Bouchard C, et al. VVA Prasterone Group. Treatment of pain at sexual activity (dyspareunia) with intravaginal dehydroepiandrosterone (prasterone). *Menopause* 2015;22:950-963.

- Labrie F, Archer DF, Koltun W, et al. VVA Prasterone Group. Efficacy of intravaginal dehydroepiandrosterone (dhea) on moderate to severe dyspareunia and vaginal dryness, symptoms of vulvovaginal atrophy, and of the genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Menopause* 2016; 23:243-256.
- Bouchard C, Labrie F, Derogatis L, et al. VVA Prasterone Group. Effect of intravaginal dehydroepiandrosterone (dhea) on the female sexual function in postmenopausal women: ERC-230 open-label study. *Horm Mol Biol Clin Investig* 2016;25:181-190.
- Barton DL, Sloan JA, Shuster LT, et al. Evaluating the efficacy of vaginal dehydroepiandosterone for vaginal symptoms in postmenopausal cancer survivors: NCCTG N10C1 (Alliance). Support Care Cancer 2018;26:643-650.
- Martel C, Labrie F, Archer DF, et al. other participating members of the Prasterone Clinical Research Group. Serum steroid concentrations remain within normal postmenopausal values in women receiving daily 6.5 mg intravaginal prasterone for 12 weeks. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2016;159:142-153.
- 83. Senshio [summary of product characteristics]. London: Shionogi; 2015.
- Goetsch MF, Lim JY, Caughey AB. A practical solution for dyspareunia in breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:3394-3400.
- Hodgins MB, Spike RC, Mackie RM, MacLean AB. An immunohistochemical study of androgen, oestrogen and progesterone receptors in the vulva and vagina. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1998;105:216-222.
- 86. Salinger SL. Proliferative effect of testosterone propionate on human vaginal epithelium. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)* 1950;4:265-284.
- Fernandes T, Costa-Paiva LH, Pedro AO, Baccaro LF, Pinto-Neto AM. Efficacy of vaginally applied estrogen, testosterone, or polyacrylic acid on vaginal atrophy: a randomized controlled trial. *Menopause* 2016; 23:792-798.
- Dahir M, Travers-Gustafson D. Breast cancer, aromatase inhibitor therapy, and sexual functioning: a pilot study of the effects of vaginal testosterone therapy. *Sex Med* 2014;2:8-15.
- Melisko ME, Narus JB. Sexual function in cancer survivors: updates to the NCCN guidelines for survivorship. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2016;14:685-689.
- Witherby S, Johnson J, Demers L, et al. Topical testosterone for breast cancer patients with vaginal atrophy related to aromatase inhibitors: a phase I/II study. *Oncologist* 2011;16:424-431.
- 91. Melisko ME, Goldman ME, Hwang J, et al. Vaginal testosterone cream vs estradiol vaginal ring for vaginal dryness or decreased libido in women receiving aromatase inhibitors for early-stage breast cancer: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2017;3:313-319.
- Ali ES, Mangold C, Peiris AN. Estriol: emerging clinical benefits. *Menopause* 2017;24:1081-1085.
- Rahn DD, Carberry C, Sanses TV, et al. Society of Gynecolgoic Surgeons Systematic Review Group. Vaginal estrogen for genitourinary syndrome of menopause: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol* 2014;124:1147-1156.
- Raz R, Stamm WE. A controlled trial of intravaginal estriol in postmenopausal women with recurrent urinary tract infections. *N Engl J Med* 1993;329:753-756.
- 95. Buchholz S, Mogele M, Lintermans A, et al. Vaginal estriol-lactobacilli combination and quality of life in endocrine-treated breast cancer. *Climacteric* 2015;18:252-259.
- Lippman M, Monaco ME, Bolan G. Effects of estrone, estradiol, and estriol on hormone-responsive human breast cancer in long-term tissue culture. *Cancer Res* 1977;37:1901-1907.
- 97. Biglia N, Bounous VE, Sgro LG, D'Alonzo M, Pecchio S, Nappi RE. Genitourinary syndrome of menopause in breast cancer survivors: are we facing new and safe hopes? *Clin Breast Cancer* 2015; 15:413-420.
- Gaspar A, Addamo G, Brandi H. Vaginal fractional CO2 laser: A minimally invasive option for vaginal rejuvenation. *Am J Cosm Surg* 2011;28:156-162.
- 99. Pagano T, De Rosa P, Vallone R, et al. Fractional microablative CO2 laser for vulvovaginal atrophy in women treated with chemotherapy and/ or hormonal therapy for breast cancer: a retrospective study. *Menopause* 2016;23:1108-1113.
- Perino A, Calligaro A, Forlani F, et al. Vulvo-vaginal atrophy: a new treatment modality using thermo-ablative fractional CO2 laser. *Maturitas* 2015;80:296-301.

- Pieralli A, Fallani MG, Becorpi A, et al. Fractional CO2 laser for vulvovaginal atrophy (VVA) dyspareunia relief in breast cancer survivors. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2016;294:841-846.
- 102. Sokol ER, Karram MM. An assessment of the safety and efficacy of a fractional CO2 laser system for the treatment of vulvovaginal atrophy. *Menopause* 2016;23:1102-1107.
- 103. Zerbinati N, Serati M, Origoni M, et al. Microscopic and ultrastructural modifications of postmenopausal atrophic vaginal mucosa after fractional carbon dioxide laser treatment. *Lasers Med Sci* 2015;30:429-436.
- 104. Cruz VL, Steiner ML, Pompei LM, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial for evaluating the efficacy of fractional CO2 laser compared with topical estriol in the treatment of vaginal atrophy in postmenopausal women. *Menopause* 2018;25:21-28.
- 105. Pagano T, De Rosa P, Vallone R, et al. Fractional microablative CO2 laser in breast cancer survivors affected by iatrogenic vulvovaginal atrophy after failure of nonestrogenic local treatments: a retrospective study. *Menopause* 2018;25:657-662.
- Gambacciani M, Levancini M, Cervigni M. Vaginal erbium laser: the second-generation thermotherapy for the genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Climacteric* 2015;18:757-763.
- 107. Becorpi A, Campisciano G, Zanotta N, et al. Fractional CO2 laser for genitourinary syndrome of menopause in breast cancer survivors: clinical, immunological, and microbiological aspects [published online ahead of print March 1, 2018]. *Lasers Med Sci.*
- 108. Gaspar A, Brandi H, Gomez V, Luque D. Efficacy of Erbium:YAG laser treatment compared to topical estriol treatment for symptoms of genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Lasers Surg Med* 2017;49:160-168.
- Anderson GL, Chlebowski RT, Rossouw JE, et al. Prior hormone therapy and breast cancer risk in the Women's Health Initiative randomized trial of estrogen plus progestin. *Maturitas* 2006;55:103-115.
- 110. O'Brien KM, Fei C, Sandler DP, Nichols HB, DeRoo LA, Weinberg CR. Hormone therapy and young-onset breast cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 2015;181:799-807.
- 111. Domchek S, Kaunitz AM. Use of systemic hormone therapy in BRCA mutation carriers. *Menopause* 2016;23:1026-1027.
- 112. Eisen A, Lubinski J, Gronwald J, et al. Hereditary Breast Cancer Clinical Study Group. Hormone therapy and the risk of breast cancer in BRCA1 mutation carriers. J Natl Cancer Inst 2008;100:1361-1367.

- 113. Rebbeck TR, Friebel T, Wagner T, et al. PROSE Study Group. Effect of short-term hormone replacement therapy on breast cancer risk reduction after bilateral prophylactic oophorectomy in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: The PROSE Study Group. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:7804-7810.
- 114. Birrer N, Chinchilla C, Del Carmen M, Dizon DS. Is hormone replacement therapy safe in women with a BRCA mutation?: a systematic review of the contemporary literature. *Am J Clin Oncol 2018*;313-315.
- Domchek SM, Friebel TM, Singer CF, et al. Association of risk-reducing surgery in BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation carriers with cancer risk and mortality. *JAMA* 2010;304:967-975.
- Goss PE, Strasser K. Aromatase inhibitors in the treatment and prevention of breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:881-894.
- 117. Osborne CK. Tamoxifen in the treatment of breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:1609-1618.
- 118. Pinkerton JV, Stanczyk FZ. Clinical effects of selective estrogen receptor modulators on vulvar and vaginal atrophy. *Menopause* 2014;21:309-319.
- 119. Amir E, Clemons M. Should a biopsy be recommended to confirm metastatic disease in women with breast cancer? *Lancet Oncol* 2009;10:933-935.
- 120. Amir E, Clemons M, Purdie CA, et al. Tissue confirmation of disease recurrence in breast cancer patients: pooled analysis of multi-centre, multi-disciplinary prospective studies. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2012;38:708-714.
- 121. Hull DF 3rd, Clark GM, Osborne CK, Chamness GC, Knight WA 3rd, McGuire WL. Multiple estrogen receptor assays in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 1983;43:413-416.
- 122. Khasraw M, Brogi E, Seidman AD. The need to examine metastatic tissue at the time of progression of breast cancer: is re-biopsy a necessity or a luxury? *Curr Oncol Rep* 2011;13:17-25.
- 123. Simmons C, Miller N, Geddie W, et al. Does confirmatory tumor biopsy alter the management of breast cancer patients with distant metastases? *Ann Oncol* 2009;20:1499-1504.
- 124. Manson JE, Goldstein SR, Kagan R, et al. Working Group on Women's Health and Well-Being in Menopause. Why the product labeling for low-dose vaginal estrogen should be changed. *Menopause* 2014;21:911-916.
- 125. Stuenkel CA. More evidence why the product labeling for low-dose vaginal estrogen should be changed? *Menopause* 2018;25:4-6.